Development of a humanized 3D kidney tissue model





Das Verbundprojekt "HOGEMA" wird durch den Europäischen Sozialfonds (ESF) mit dem Förderkennzeichen ESF/14-BM-A55-0014/18 und dem Ministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft und Kultur des Landes Mecklenbura-Vorpommern aeförder

Salti, Haitham¹, Kramer, Lea¹, Nelz, Sophie-Charlotte¹, Lichtwark, Sarina¹, Breitrück, Anne^{1,2}, Hofrichter, Jacqueline^{1,2}, Lorenz, Mathias³, Schwerdt, Daniela³, Mitzner, Steffen^{1,2}, Wasserkort, Reinhold^{1,2}

¹Fraunhofer Institute for Cell Therapy and Immunology-IZI, Department of Extracorporeal Therapy Systems, Schillingallee 68, 18057 Rostock, Germany ²University Medicine Rostock, Centre of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Ernst-Heydemann-Straße 6, 18057 Rostock, Germany ³Hochschule Wismar, Faculty of Engineering, Philipp-Müller-Straße 14, 23966 Wismar, Germany

Introduction

Animal experiments in Germany according to the purpose of the experiment (2020)

1.9 million animals per year are used for



Results: Decellularization







Aims and Objectives



- Up to 30 cell types
- Several functional units:
 - Glomerulus, proximal & distal tubuli loop of Henle etc.









Native Chem HHP 50 100 200 30 560 FTC

Amount of DNA and GAG in native kidney tissue and decellularized PCKS. While FTC resulted in a significant reduction in DNA content, its GAG content is partially preserved and comparable to Chem. n> 4.





Histology of decellularized PCKS stained with H&E (20x) shows removal of nuclei in Chem scaffolds. Chem resulted in an overall preservation of the structures with only minor damage

Scoring-Matrix: Converting descriptive microscopy data into quantitative data

Parameter	Chem	HHP 50 + Chem	HHP 100 + Chem	HHP 200 + Chem	UBS 30 + Chem	UBS 60 + Chem	FTC + Chem
Histology score	2.86	3.07	3.35	3.26	3.23	3.17	2.96

Materials and Methods

Work flow: Decellularization



Decellularization protocols:



Composition score	2.9	2.9	2.4	2.7	3.1	3.0	3.3
Total score	* 2.87	3.00	2.88	* 2.96	3.17	* 3.08	★ 3.10

* Selected for recellularization with human kidney cells (RPTEC: renal proximal tubular epithelial cells)

Results: Recellularization





Resazurin assay shows that seeded cells on UBS scaffolds have the lowest viability, while synthetic scaffolds have the highest viability. On the other hand, all other kidney scaffolds



achieved a similar viability of seeded cells

<u><u></u> ⁰ </u>

Migration depth [µm]

Cell migration depth in decellularized and synthetic scaffolds. Seeded cells in all scaffolds (kidney and synthetic) reached a maximum depth of around 80 µm. Number of seeded cells in Chem scaffolds is less than other kidney scaffolds. Cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342. Imaging was done with an epi-fluorescence microscope (WiScan, Hermes), n>4.

Summary

FTC: highest reduction in residual DNA and a better preservation of GAG UBS: scaffolds have low ability for sustaining viable cells

In process: investigation of specific attachment of RPTECs on their original positions

1 World Kidney Day, "Chronic Kidney Disease

2 New strategies in kidney regeneration and tissue engineering," Current Opinion in Nephrology and Hypertension, vol. 23, no. 4, 2014.

3 Contribution of Physical Methods in Decellularization of Animal Tissues," Journal of medical signals and sensors, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2021.

4 I. Fischer, M. Westphal, B. Rossbach et al., "Comparative characterization of decellularized renal scaffolds for tissue engineering," Biomedical Materials, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 45005, 2017.